

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/524,301	Applicant(s) KLETTKE ET AL.	
	Examiner MICHAEL PEPITONE	Art Unit 1796	

All Participants:

(1) MICHAEL PEPITONE.
(2) Loren Albin.

Date of Interview: 30 September 2010

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: pending
(3) _____
(4) _____
Time: 4:00 pm

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
n/a

Claims discussed:
allpending

Prior art documents discussed:
Zech et al. (US 6,894,144).

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
An examiner’s amendment to claims 15, 21, 28, and 29 was discussed, but not authorized by Applicants’ representative.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Michael Pepitone/
Examiner, Art Unit 1796
(Applicant/Applicants Representative Signature – if appropriate)